

# LORD DALHOUSIE'S ADMINISTRATION OF THE CONQUERED AND ANNEXED STATES AND ITS IMPACT ON BRITISH EXPANSION IN INDIA

**Dr.K.Vijay Kumar**

*Assistant Professor, Department of History, Government Degree College for Women (A), Begumpet, Hyderabad.*

## ABSTRACT

*Under Lord Dalhousie's leadership (1848–1856), the British consolidated and expanded their influence in India through a series of aggressive annexation policies and reforms. Satara, Jhansi, and Nagpur were absorbed as a result of the British expansion, which was facilitated by the "Doctrine of Lapse," a strategy that permitted the annexation of governments without a direct male heir. In addition to enhancing British control and revenue, these annexations—along with the conquests of Punjab and Lower Burma—also stoked discontent among Indian rulers and contributed to the 1857 insurrection. Lord Dalhousie, as Governor-General of India, pursued a policy of territorial expansion and consolidation of British power. He believed in the superiority of British administration and sought to extend direct British rule over as much of India as possible. This was achieved through a combination of military conquest and strategic annexations, with the Doctrine of Lapse being a prominent method.*

## INTRODUCTION

Lord Dalhousie's annexation policies were a key aspect of British colonial expansion in India during his tenure as Governor-General from 1848 to 1856. He desired direct British authority over as much territory as feasible. The 'Doctrine of Lapse' was his primary vehicle for implementing his annexation agenda. Dalhousie seized the cotton-producing region of Berar from Nizam in 1853 for identical reasons. He was diminutive in stature and was afflicted with a number of ailments. Throughout his life, he was energized and filled with joy by his conviction that he was attaining public success. Dalhousie was the first individual in India to introduce the Electric Telegraph System in 1852. A drive has been made for the completion of the Grand Trunk Road, which connects Delhi and Calcutta and extends into Punjab. The annexation of Oudh, which was the primary catalyst for the 1857 insurrection, was one of his most significant accomplishments. Dalhousie's enthusiasm extended beyond the acquisition of additional territories. His most significant accomplishment was the conversion of these regions into a modern, centralized state. He prioritized the development of a communication and transportation infrastructure immediately due to his confidence in the Constitution and his competence as an administrator. In the planning of the initial railways, he invested a significant amount of thought. He utilized his expertise at the Board of Trade in London to establish the foundation for future railway expansion by delineating the fundamental concept of trunk and branch lines and establishing regulations to safeguard railway employees and property owners who were affected by railway construction. Additionally, he planned and implemented an electric telegraph network, advocated for the completion of the Grand Trunk Road between Delhi and Calcutta, as well as its extension into Punjab, and

established a centralized postal system that was based on a low uniform rate paid in advance through stamp purchases. This system replaced a variety of methods that were characterized by high speeds and delivery uncertainty. He provided substantial support for the prohibition of female infanticide in Punjab and the northwest in general, as well as the abolition of human sacrifice among the hill tribes of Orissa. He strongly advocated for the use of vernacular languages in schools and was notably supportive of girls' education.

## **THE REFORMS OF LORD DALHOUSIE - THE CREATOR OF MODERN INDIA**

During his tenure as Governor-General of India, which lasted from 1848 until 1856, Lord Dalhousie was responsible for implementing key reforms that formed the groundwork for modern India. Not only did his measures in the areas of infrastructure, education, and administration contribute to the British dominance of India, but they also brought about modernity that continues to have an impact on the country even today.

- a. **Investment in Physical Infrastructure:** The first railway line in India, which connected Bombay (now known as Mumbai) and Thane, was established by Dalhousie in the year 1853. Additionally, another railway line was established between Calcutta and Raniganj. The first line of the telegraph system, which connected Calcutta and Agra in 1854, was created by him. Additionally, he was responsible for the reform of the postal system by enacting the Post Office Act of 1854.
- b. **Educational Reforms:** Dalhousie advocated for the founding of universities in Bombay, Calcutta, and Madras, and he supported the use of English as the language of teaching. At the same time, Dalhousie highlighted the significance of both elite higher education and education for the general public. Lord Dalhousie was a strong advocate of education. Dalhousie implemented Charles Wood's Dispatch in 1854, which laid the foundation for the modern education system in India. It emphasised the establishment of universities in Bombay, Calcutta, and Madras and promoted English as the medium of instruction. He supported the expansion of vernacular education to increase literacy among the Indian population.
- c. **Administrative Reforms:** Dalhousie implemented the Doctrine of Lapse, which resulted in the annexation of Indian states and elevated British power. In addition to this, he restructured the civil service by instituting free competition for recruitment and establishing the Public Works Department, which was responsible for overseeing the construction of infrastructure. Lord Dalhousie appointed a lieutenant governor to oversee Bengal's administration, thereby allowing the governor-general to focus on broader, nationwide issues. Fredrick J. Halliday became the first Lieutenant Governor of Bengal. Similarly, the newly acquired territories, such as Punjab, were placed under the supervision of Commissioners to ensure effective governance and management.
- d. **Social Reforms:** The prohibition of female infanticide in Punjab and the northwest, as well as the abolition of human sacrifice among Orissa's hill tribes, were two of the social reforms that Dalhousie advocated for and supported. He was also an advocate for the

education of girls and the utilization of vernacular languages in educational institutions. his administration continued the policies of his predecessors in addressing social issues.

- i. Widow Remarriage Act (1856): Dalhousie passed the Widow Remarriage Act, legalising the remarriage of Hindu widows and challenging traditional social norms.
- ii. Suppression of Female Infanticide: He took measures to suppress the practice of female infanticide, particularly in northern India
- e. Military Reforms: Lord Dalhousie focused on modernising the British Indian Army. Gurkha regiments were established, and the artillery from Calcutta was relocated to Meerut. To strengthen the military presence, he increased the number of English soldiers by creating three new British army regiments. Additionally, a Sikh force was formed in Punjab under British officers, and Gurkhas were integrated into the Indian army.
- f. Other Reforms: Dalhousie was the driving force behind the construction of the Grand Trunk Road and the Ganges Canal, and he was also a supporter of the Hindu Widow Remarriage Act of 1856.

## DOCTRINE OF LAPSE

Doctrine of Lapse Background: The Doctrine of Lapse arose from the British East India Company's desire to consolidate and expand its control in India. Many Indian princely states have traditionally adopted heirs in the absence of a direct male successor to ensure the continuation of their rule. The Doctrine of Lapse dictated that if an Indian princely state lacked a surviving male heir or if there was a succession dispute, the British would annexe the state's territory. Based on the principle that Britain, as the paramount power, could accept or reject adopted heirs of Indian rulers, the doctrine was justified as ensuring good governance in mismanaged states. The Court of Directors introduced this policy in 1847, initially targeting smaller Indian states. Lord Dalhousie later expanded its use to increase British control aggressively. The annexations also helped the financially struggling East India Company increase revenue and reduce subsidiary alliance costs.

### Doctrine of Lapse Features

The doctrine of lapse was widely regarded as an imperialist approach by Indian rulers. They opposed this policy because it increased the power of the British government. The Doctrine of Lapse had several key features that defined its implementation:

- a. Annexation of States Without a Male Heir: The primary feature of the Doctrine of Lapse was the automatic annexation of any princely state where the ruler died without a natural male heir. The British refused to acknowledge adopted heirs, which was a common practice among Indian rulers.
- b. British Approval Required: Even in cases where adoption was previously accepted, the doctrine required that such adoptions receive explicit British approval before being considered valid for succession.
- c. Inheritance: According to the Doctrine of Lapse, an adopted heir could inherit only the prince's personal belongings and property, not the rulership of the kingdom.

Thus, it directly challenged the traditional Indian practice of adopting an heir to succeed to the throne.

- d. **Pension and Titles:** Under the Doctrine of Lapse, the adopted son of a princely state's ruler would be ineligible to receive any pensions previously granted to his father. It also prohibited the usage of titles that his father might have received earlier.
- e. **Selective Application:** The policy was not uniformly applied to all princely states. Its implementation often depended on strategic and economic considerations. It applied to states without a competent ruler or legal heir to the throne.
- f. **Legal Rationale:** The Doctrine of Lapse was publicly portrayed as being grounded in Hindu law, but this was misleading. While Hindu law allowed for the adoption of a son to ensure succession, the annexation policy under the Doctrine of Lapse did not recognise adopted heirs, leading to their exclusion from succession rights.
- g. **Misgovernance Clause:** In some instances, states were annexed on the pretext of misgovernance, even when succession was not an issue.

The "Doctrine of Lapse" was the primary tool that Lord Dalhousie employed to achieve his annexation objective. Lord Dalhousie, who was the governor-general of India at the time, developed the concept of lapse in order to address succession issues in Hindu Indian administrations. It was a continuation of the concept of paramountcy. Superintendence over the subordinate Indian nations and control over their inheritance were the responsibilities of Great Britain, the dominant power in the Indian subcontinent. In Hindu law, a person or monarch may adopt a person who will possess all the personal and political rights of a son if they lack natural successors. Dalhousie asserted that the paramount authority had the power to authorize such deployments and to act at its discretion in the absence of the maximum power in the event of dependent nations. This entailed rejecting last-minute implementations and the British invasion of states that lacked a direct natural or fostered successor. Dalhousie believed that Western authority was superior to Eastern authority and should be implemented whenever possible. Annexation was charged in Jhansi, Chota Udaipur, Baghat, Jaitpur, Sambalpur, Nagpur, and Satara in the absence of a biological or foster successor. The annexations caused significant consternation and animosity among Indian monarchs and the ancient nobility who served them, despite the fact that the doctrine's reach was limited to subservient Hindu nations. It is widely acknowledged that they played a role in the resentment that precipitated the Indian Mutiny (1857) and the subsequent widespread insurrection.

1. **Annexation of Princely States:** The Doctrine of Lapse led to the annexation of several Indian princely states, including
  - a. **Satara (1848):** Annexed under the Doctrine of Lapse after the ruler died without a male heir.
  - b. **Sambalpur (1849):** Annexed due to internal misrule and instability.
  - c. **Jhansi (1853):** Annexed under the Doctrine of Lapse after the ruler died without a male heir.

- d. Nagpur (1854): Annexed under the Doctrine of Lapse after the ruler died without a male heir.
  - e. Awadh (1856): Annexed citing misgovernance and the need for British intervention.
2. **Annexation of Awadh:** Annexation of Awadh: Dalhousie annexed the state of Awadh in 1856, citing misgovernance and the need for British intervention to improve administration. The British East India Company had a long-standing interest in Awadh due to its fertile land and strategic location. A subsidiary alliance had been imposed on Awadh earlier, effectively bringing it under British influence. Lord Dalhousie's annexation of Awadh was part of his expansionist policy, utilizing the Doctrine of Lapse and claims of misgovernance to justify the takeover. The annexation of Awadh was a significant event that contributed to growing discontent among Indian rulers and nobles.

### Doctrine of Lapse Effects

The Doctrine of Lapse had profound effects on British India. It led to significant territorial expansion but also incited widespread resentment among Indian rulers. Its implementation contributed to the unrest that culminated in the Indian Rebellion of 1857.

- i. Expansion of British Territories: The doctrine of lapse led to the annexation of key states, expanding British control and increasing administrative responsibilities.
- ii. Loss of Sovereignty for Princely States: Indian princely states lost sovereignty, sparking unrest. Rulers like the Rani of Jhansi and Nana Sahib were denied their thrones.
- iii. Erosion of Traditional Practices: The rejection of adopted heirs disrupted succession traditions, destabilised the political landscape and weakened India's cultural fabric.
- iv. Prelude to the Indian Rebellion of 1857: The annexations and policies like the Doctrine of Lapse fueled discontent, contributing to the 1857 rebellion.
- v. Change in British Policy: After the rebellion, the British Crown assumed control, abandoned the doctrine, and adopted a more conciliatory approach toward Indian rulers.
- vi. Consolidation of Power: The annexations helped consolidate British power in India, paving the way for further colonial expansion.

The Doctrine of Lapse, though short-lived, significantly expanded British control and revenue, but it alienated Indian rulers and fueled the 1857 rebellion. Its abandonment marked a shift from aggressive annexation to a more conciliatory approach toward princely states.

### CONCLUSION

Dalhousie was remembered as the foresighted Governor-General who consolidated East India Company rule in India, laid the groundwork for its later administration, and enabled his successors to stem the tide of rebellion through sound policy. His reign in India preceded the transition into the Victorian Raj period of Indian administration. On the eve of his death, many in Britain chastised him for failing to recognize the warning signs of the brewing Indian Rebellion of 1857,

and for exacerbating the crisis through his overbearing self-confidence, centralizing activity, and expansive annexations.

## REFERENCES

- Choudhary, R. K. (2009). Doctrine of lapse frayed link for expansion of the British Empire in India. *Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution*, 1(6), 1–12. [https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get\\_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/jourlbun16&section=6](https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/jourlbun16&section=6)
- Dalhousie, Lord. (n.d.). In *Banglapedia*. [https://en.banglapedia.org/index.php/Dalhousie,\\_Lord](https://en.banglapedia.org/index.php/Dalhousie,_Lord)
- Dutt, R. C. (n.d.). *The economic history of India in the Victorian Age, vol. II: From the accession of Queen Victoria in 1837 to the commencement of the twentieth century*. <https://libarch.nmu.org.ua/bitstream/handle/GenofondUA/3935/22196bf93518287b2a9ab4055b59f0af.pdf?sequence=1>
- Kriegel, B. (1995). *The state and the rule of law*. Princeton University Press. <https://www.torrossa.com/gs/resourceProxy?an=5580060&publisher=FZO137>
- Lebra-Chapman, J. (1986). *The Rani of Jhansi: A study in female heroism in India*. University of Hawaii Press. <https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/bitstreams/0e84ddff-e153-48ed-be1d-8dc21e374825/download>
- Pati, B. (n.d.). Beyond colonial mapping: Common people, fuzzy boundaries and the Rebellion of 1857. In *The Great Rebellion of 1857 in India: Exploring transgressions, contests and diversities* (pp. 165–178). Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780203865392-10/beyond-colonial-mapping-common-people-fuzzy-boundaries-rebellion-1857-biswamoy-pati>